

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,151	08/21/2003		Hyung-Seok Yu	678-1041 (P10425)	8924
28249	7590	05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
		RRESE, LLP	VU, MICHAEL T		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2617	
				DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646,151	YU, HYUNG-SEOK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Vu	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sp. - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DAR available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 m the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period was to rextended period for reply will, by statute, Office later than three months after the mailingment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed Tom the mailing date of this communication. The property of the communication of the communication. The property of the communication of the communication of the communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2006</u> .						
<u>'=</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 a</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	nd 5-9 is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may r Replacement dr	on is objected to by the Examine filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: not request that any objection to the rawing sheet(s) including the correct claration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3, 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaaresoja (US 2002/0177471) in view of Uriya (US 6,574,489).

Regarding Claims 1 and 3, Kaaresoja teaches a method for giving notice of an incoming call in a mobile communication terminal [0029], comprising the steps of: storing a plurality of vibration patterns (Fig. 3, [0012, 0034], the different ways vibration patterns) the plurality of vibration patterns including information associated with time periods for which vibration generation is maintained [0032-0034], **but is not clear on** time periods for which vibration generation stops, and intensity of vibration for each time period; setting a vibration pattern, from among the stored vibration patterns for a particular telephone number of previously stored telephone numbers in a particular incoming notification mode; and when an incoming call is received from a caller,

Art Unit: 2617

generating vibration based on the set vibration pattern if a telephone number of the incoming call matches the particular telephone.

However, Uriya teaches an incoming call notification method and device for a multimode radio device having a speaker, display, or vibrator that respectively output a different sound, display content, or vibration according to the current communication mode; and a control unit that controls operations, including the intensity (RPM or Amplitude) of vibration for each time period (Fig. 10-11, C2, L53-67, C8, L39-67 to C9, L1-43, C12, L16-54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaaresoja, such that time periods for which vibration generation stops, and intensity of vibration for each time period; setting a vibration pattern, from among the stored vibration patterns for a particular telephone number of previously stored telephone numbers in a particular incoming notification mode; and when an incoming call is received from a caller, generating vibration based on the set vibration pattern if a telephone number of the incoming call matches the particular telephone, to distinguish or identify which incoming call belongs to based on the set of vibration pattern.

Regarding Claim 5, Kaaresoja/Uriya teach the method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the plurality of vibration patterns are configured by inputs of an intensity adjustment key and a time adjustment key (Fig. 2-3, [0032-0034]) of Kaaresoja.

Regarding **Claim 6** Okano teaches the method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the intensity adjustment key is a volume adjustment key of the mobile communication

adon/Condot Number. 1

Art Unit: 2617

terminal and the time adjustment key is one of a left and right direction key of the mobile communication terminal (Fig. 2-3, [0032-0034]) of Kaaresoja.

Regarding Claim 7, Kaaresoja/Uriya teach the method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the configuring and storing the plurality of vibration patterns according to a user's input comprises the steps of: displaying a graph (Image/Icon) corresponding to information associated with time periods for which vibration generation is maintained, time periods for which vibration generation stops, and intensity of vibration for each time period, in response to the inputs of the intensity adjustment key and the time adjustment key from the user; and storing a vibration pattern based on the displayed graph in response to a configuration completion command from the user (Fig. 2, 7, and Fig. 11 Images A-N, C2, L49-67 to C3, L1-25, C4, L46-67 to C5, L1-12) of Uriya and (Fig. 2-3 [0032-0034] of Kaaresoja.

Regarding Claim 8, Kaaresoja/Uriya teach the method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the plurality of vibration patterns are displayed in form of a graph according to a user's request Fig. 2, 7, and Fig. 11 Images A-N, C2, L49-67 to C3, L1-25, C4, L46-67 to C5, L1-12) of Uriya and (Fig. 2-3 [0032-0034] of Kaaresoja.

Regarding **Claim 9,** Kaaresoja/Uriya teach the method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the plurality of vibration patterns are displayed in text form according to a user's request (C2, L49-67 to C3, L1-25) of Uriya.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Page 5

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael T. Vu

DUC NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER